

Lonny Eachus


@eachus

Software Engineer. RoR Developer.
Caretaker for a cute, funny, and
extremely smart \$&#%#@! ferret named
Fred Weasley.

CyberDust: Eachus

Joined November 2008

2,944 Photos and videos



Tweets Tweets & replies Media

Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Steve Goddard @SteveSGoddard · 3h

- #blacklivesmatter was trying to blame the police the other night.
They lie about everything.



Joe Bruno @JoeBrunoWSOC9

BREAKING- Police arrest Rayquan Borum, charged with
shooting and killing Justin Carr during protests
Wednesday night @wsocvtv

13 7 ...

Lonny Eachus Retweeted



James Woods @RealJamesWoods · 4h

Aside from watching this woman defend her life by killing a thug, this
video will help police catch the other two

348 709 ...



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12m

Like so many other Progressive talking points, Obama bases his
policies on things that are largely fictions.

... ...



Lonny Eachus Retweeted

**James Woods** @RealJamesWoods · 4h

#Obama: Make Climate Change a National Security Issue // Can't say "Islamic terrorism," but worried about weather...



411

805

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 13m

Hillary thinks buying ANYTHING online is a "loophole", because it does not allow government (from her point of view: HER) control.

NRA @NRA.@HillaryClinton says you can't end terrorism without...gun control?
nr2a.org/ob #2A**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 17m

You Need To Care About Facebook Censoring An Iconic Vietnam War Photo



Lonny Eachus Retweeted

**EFF** @EFF · 4h

"Obtaining information from inside a suspect's computer is a search, no matter what the information is." - @OrinKerr

← ↻ 108 85 ⋮



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19m

"I'm not 'retreating'... I'm making myself safe and comfortable."

Haha! Sounds like retreating.

Paul Joseph Watson @PrisonPlanet

Triggly Puff 2.0 is here! Another whiny, self-entitled fat authoritarian bitch.
[youtube.com/watch?v=-NZVkn...](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-NZVkn...)

← ↻ ⋮



Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Michelle Kaufman @kaufsports · 4h

Look who's No. 8 on the New York Times Best Sellers list this week!
nyti.ms/2ctfE63 #proudwife

← ↻ 2 8 ⋮



Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Americas1stFreedom @NRAA1F · 6h

If media really mattered-@MediaMatters wouldn't twist @DomRaso's words to fit their anti-#2A agenda goo.gl/QwMkJ5



← ↻ 31 42 ⋮



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 41m

This is real: House Resolution to repeal President 2-term limit.
congress.gov/bill/113th-con...
Sign petition to stop it.




 Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Paul Joseph Watson @PrisonPlanet · 5h

Trump is headed for a win, says professor who has predicted 30 years of presidential outcomes correctly.



 In reply to Fabius Maximus (Ed.)



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 55m

@FabiusMaximus01

No accusation intended, though.




 In reply to Fabius Maximus (Ed.)



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 55m

@FabiusMaximus01

Not a big deal. I've just seen it used improperly a lot lately, by people who would distort its meaning.



 In reply to Fabius Maximus (Ed.)



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 56m

@FabiusMaximus01

Okay.



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 2h

But now certain groups and politicians on the Left are intent on changing it back.



Paul Joseph Watson @PrisonPlanet



CHANGED THE WOR



Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Stop These Things @StopTheseThings · 13h

Wind Industry Pummels Pupils with Wind-Cult Propaganda in Schools
stopthesethings.com/2016/09/23/win...



17

7



Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Paul Joseph Watson @PrisonPlanet · 9h

YouTube's New Program is Horrible.



126

252



Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Mark Young @MarkYoungTruth · 17h

Interesting how Obama/Clinton said TEA party were dangerous and yet
 no one killed, looted, no riots, no burning buildings



175

288



Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Paul Joseph Watson @PrisonPlanet · 10h


If not for the second amendment, this woman would be dead



2.1K

2.8K





Less well-off families from red states donate a relatively higher – and growing – proportion of their money to charity, while those at the top

rt.com



444



Leftists are selfish & miserly.




882



129

285









In reply to katie

Lonny Eachus @eachus · 4h

@eitaKrevilO

Do you expect me to beg and say “pretty please”?









In reply to katie

Lonny Eachus @eachus · 4h

@eitaKrevilO

As for “anger” and “hostility”, I was replying to a malicious cyberstalker who has been harassing me for years.









In reply to katie

Lonny Eachus @eachus · 4h

@eitaKrevilO

After all, a criticism won’t be very effective if I don’t even know what the heck you’re criticizing.








In reply to katie


Lonny Eachus @eachus · 4h

@eitaKrevilO


You quoted a tweet to “Mr. Scientist”, so how should I know what “strangers” you’re referring to?

Would you care to explain?








Lonny Eachus Retweeted





Jelly Ferret @FerretJelly · Sep 18

The latest fashion accessory:



 19

 53



 In reply to katie  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 5h @eitaKrevilO I didn't "start an argument" with him at all.	  
 In reply to katie  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 5h @eitaKrevilO You have no idea what you're talking about. He's been trying to smear me for seven years.	  
 In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @Logic_Argue ... that were not binding, because Congress. They were pissed off. Didn't understand how the American Presidency works.	  
 In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @Logic_Argue I don't know if you recall, but a few months ago some M.E./Persian countries were complaining that Obama was making promises...	  
 In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @Logic_Argue @guardian And as I'm sure you know, Congress is not about to ratify this thing.	  
 In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @Logic_Argue @guardian It matters not whether it's an "executive agreement" or a more standard treaty. They're all treaties.	  
 In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @Logic_Argue While a President can "sign" an agreement, we have zero obligation to honor it unless Congress ratifies.	  
 Lonny Eachus Retweeted  Marty Gwynne Argues @Logic_Argue · 12h "Great Stall of China" @guardianeco	<div>  <div> GWPF @thegwpfcom Paris Climate Deal Signed, China Raises Coal Production thegwpf.com/forget-paris-c... </div> </div>


 1


 In reply to Stephen Newton


Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
But I'm not ignorant either of the subject, or of how science works.





 In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
I've been following the subject for many years. And I have made mistakes.






 In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith ... being said about it that I *became* skeptical.






 In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
I was a real believer in CAGW. It wasn't until I started fact-checking some of the things ...


 In reply to Stephen Newton


Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
Look, I'm not trying to be personal. Let me tell you something.





 In reply to Simon Conway-Smith


Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@SConwaySmith @stephennewton @randywedo57
Yeah, that's actually a very germane example.





 In reply to Stephen Newton


Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
Skedaddle. I have things to do.






 In reply to Stephen Newton


Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
You haven't fact-checked any of the actual criticisms you're referring to. You're echoing others.





 In reply to Stephen Newton


Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith

- 

The only one making faith-based arguments here is you.

↩ ↺ ⋮
- ↩ In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
But you haven't. You basically just claimed they're all wrong. Religious nuts.

↩ ↺ ⋮
- ↩ In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith ... in order to do your radiation experiment?

Stick to things you're "allowed"?

↩ ↺ ⋮
- ↩ In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
There you go with consensus again. Should you have to get permission from the "consensus" ...

↩ ↺ ⋮
- ↩ In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
I don't know ANY field of science you can point to and honestly say: "all criticism is baseless."

↩ ↺ 1 ⋮
- ↩ In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
Who? You're painting with a brush as wide as Texas.

↩ ↺ ⋮
- ↩ In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
Where did you come up with that? How do you know what I know? Or where my information is from?

↩ ↺ ⋮
- ↩ In reply to Stephen Newton



























Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
Similarly, someone who isn't a climate scientist might easily be able to spot bad methodology.

↩ ↺ ⋮
- ↩ In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h
@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith
But the statistician does not have to perform the biologav experiment in

... the statement does not have to permit the biology experiment in order to do so.		  
	In reply to Stephen Newton	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith Okay, that was my whole point.	  
	In reply to Stephen Newton	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith Shouldn't a statistician be able to recognize bad statistics in a biology paper?	  
	In reply to Stephen Newton	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith That wasn't an answer to my question.	  
	In reply to Stephen Newton	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @SConwaySmith @randywedo57 Makes a good point. How would you test the CAGW hypothesis? Please propose a method.	  
	In reply to Stephen Newton	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith ... that experiment in order to show that she used improper statistics in her analysis?	  
	In reply to Stephen Newton	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith Are you saying that if the scientist next door publishes a paper, I have to try to replicate...	  
	In reply to Stephen Newton	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith Oh, please. Are you going to quote "97%" at me now?	  
	In reply to Stephen Newton	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith To put it differently: saying "the consensus agrees on X" is not a valid argument supporting X.	  

 In reply to Stephen Newton  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith And saying that consensus is not science does not make me a “denier”.   
 In reply to Stephen Newton  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith That may not be the greatest example but it was just off-the-cuff, you understand.   
 In reply to Stephen Newton  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith It is a history of OVERTURNING the consensus view.   
 In reply to Stephen Newton  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith The history of progress in science is not one of agreement and consensus.   
 In reply to Stephen Newton  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith (To the extent something is “provable” that is.)   
 In reply to Stephen Newton  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith ... element X is not radioactive. You just proved the consensus wrong.   
 In reply to Stephen Newton  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith Now, prior to this, the phenomenon was completely unknown to science. The CONSENSUS was ...   
 In reply to Stephen Newton  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 12h @stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith Many times. Her results are the same as yours. You now have replicability. You publish a paper.   

<



@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith



@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith



@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith



@TinyMonsters524 @Walter_lars



1



@TinyMonsters524 @Walter_lars



寝起きの4によろ♡



























2

9



@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

14/46


	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	
 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 13h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 As sincerely as I can possibly be: I think you need professional help.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	
 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 13h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 You're not worth the effort. Goodbye.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	
 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 13h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 It's like you're completely oblivious when someone explains things like that to you.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	
 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 13h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 Quoting yet more of your out-of-contexting does not support your point, man.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	
 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 13h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 Goodbye, Waste Of Time.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	
 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 13h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 There are lots of readers of my timeline. I'll let them decide who's "projecting".	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	
 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 13h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 HAHAHAHAHAHA! That's the funniest thing you've said yet.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	
 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 13h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123	

 @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123
SJWs Always Double Down

Classic.




↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 13h
@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

You realize you're just doubling down on your dishonesty, right?




↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 13h
@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123
I explained the context of that to you before.

STOP OUT-OF-CONTEXTING!



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 13h
@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123
Hahaha! You really need a much better mirror.

Time to go away, & stay away.




↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h
@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 Or rather, in the
interest of accuracy: when you made it plain that you HAD BEEN trying
to.




↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h
@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123
Don't blame me for that. Your own act.




↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h
@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123
No, I pointed out that you were being a bully when you tried to coerce
me into silence.




↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h
@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123
You're being even more seriously infantile than usual.

LEAVE ME ALONE.



- 


In reply to Stephen Newton




Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SConwaySmith

Not "I". You, maybe. Leave me out of it.










In reply to Stephen Newton




Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SteveSGoddard


@SConwaySmith

Please go look it up. It's not worth arguing with you about.










In reply to Stephen Newton





Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SteveSGoddard


@SConwaySmith

No, you're conflating two completely different concepts.










In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h


@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SteveSGoddard

@SConwaySmith

Snort.











In reply to Dumb Scientist




Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h


@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

... act like your mama never taught you any manners.













In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h







 Home

 Moments

Search Twitter



Have an account? Log in



https://twitter.com/eachus/with_replies

17/46



Lonny Eachus
@eachus

TWEETS
143K

FOLLOWING
250

FOLLOWERS
1,309

LIKES
13

Follow



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123
Pretty hilarious that you linked to yet another example on your horning in on a conversation...



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Bye-bye.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Hahaha! I don't have any current grants to protect, either.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Time for you to go. You're wasting everyone's time again.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I don't even know what "Slayer claims" you're referring to, so how could I?


Nor do I care.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h



Home



Moments

Search Twitter



Have an account? Log in

**Lonny Eachus**
@eachusTWEETS
143KFOLLOWING
250FOLLOWERS
1,309LIKES
13

Follow

**@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123**

I make deals sometimes with reasonable people, but contingent upon them staying reasonable.



In reply to Dumb Scientist

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h**@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123**

(2/2) As long as you keep behaving like this, I feel no obligation to you whatever.



In reply to Dumb Scientist

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h**@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123**I told you many, many times now:
(1/2)

In reply to Dumb Scientist

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h**@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123**

YOU'RE the one who keeps bringing them up. YEARS later.

Something wrong with you, man.



In reply to Dumb Scientist

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h**@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123**

And there you go with your Slayer bullshit again.

You ARE obsessed.



In reply to Dumb Scientist

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h**@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123**

You saw them before. I don't feel any obligation to do that all over again. Why should I?



In reply to Dumb Scientist

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h**@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123**

I call it as I see it. I feel no need to assuage your special snowflake sensibilities.




In reply to Dumb Scientist

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 14h**@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123**

It's popular parlance. I'm sure you've seen it elsewhere.



<

 And I must admit, I appreciate the admissions you've made here tonight, in front of everyone.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

The longer you continue this, the worse you're looking.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I say things I think I have evidence for.

I've been wrong. But unlike you, they're not lies.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

.@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Hahaha! Cussing at YOU. I think people here today can see why. No apology.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

You admit that you harass me in order to try to shut me up?

Pretty amazing really.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Utter nonsense. More dishonesty.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

And conflating what you do with what I do is — surprise! — dishonest.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I don't negotiate with bullies.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 14h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Now you admit that you're trying to be a bully.

I don't agree to anything.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOLiver @tan123

It's a form of lying.

Goodbye.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOLiver @tan123

And yet AGAIN, you show things out of the contexts I had already explained to you.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOLiver @tan123

Which is ANOTHER thing I've explained to you many, many times now.

Get lost.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOLiver @tan123

The difference is, DumbAss, that I don't make a habit of bothering people who ASK me to stop.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOLiver @tan123

Is ANYTHING I just wrote in front of 1000+ followers in ANY way ambiguous?



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOLiver @tan123

LEAVE ME ALONE!



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOLiver @tan123

I'm not interested in your arguments. I'm not interested in you AT ALL.









































In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOLiver @tan123

	I don't want to debate with you. I don't want to hear from you.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 So let me make this very clear: I don't like you. I don't care about your opinions.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 AFTER you incessantly hassled me, and that was FOUR FUCKING YEARS AGO!!! You have a problem!	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 Now STOP YOUR NONSENSE, GO AWAY, AND LEAVE ME ALONE! PERMANENTLY.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 I've said it here on Twitter. If you look, you can find.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 You're really losing it, man.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 I haven't disputed it. I'VE SAID THE VERY SAME THING TO YOU, MANY TIMES. Holy crap!	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 So that's just more of your dishonesty and distortions. I'm not the cyberstalker, you are.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 You bother my friends. If you hadn't done any of that, none of your time	

would be “wasted”.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

But you just can't seem to do it. You follow me around, you pore over my every Tweet.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I've been telling you that for SEVEN YEARS, more or less.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I've asked you from the very beginning to GO AWAY and leave me the hell alone.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 15h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

More of your garbage. I didn't waste ANY of YOUR time.



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 16h

32% of USHCN stations exhibited $\geq 20\%$ increase in impervious surface area between 2001 and 2011.



In reply to Karim D. Ghantous



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 16h

@kdghantous Even THAT wouldn't bother me so much if he were at least somewhat honest about it. But he hasn't been.



In reply to Karim D. Ghantous



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 16h

@kdghantous I wouldn't bother with him, except that he has repeatedly gone way out of his way to try to make me look bad.



In reply to Karim D. Ghantous



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 16h

@kdghantous

Sorry about that. That guy has a way of irritating, like an enormous dingleberry.



Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Watts Up With That @wattsupwiththat · 21h

New USGS study shows heat retaining concrete and asphalt have encroached upon US... wattsupwiththat.com/2016/09/22/new...



30

20



Lonny Eachus Retweeted



The Rebel @TheRebelTV · 21h

Literally Everything is White Supremacy: NEW #video by @Lauren_Southern bit.ly/2cPxyl6 #Trump #tcot



161

361



 In reply to Patrick Moore  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 17h @EcoSenseNow @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @Cartoonsbyjosh I hope you understand that from my point of view, witnesses are a good thing.   
 In reply to Patrick Moore  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 17h @EcoSenseNow @ASKirkpatrick @Cartoonsbyjosh But I apologize to all who were dragged in, and may have had their timelines flooded.   
 In reply to Patrick Moore  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 17h @EcoSenseNow @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @Cartoonsbyjosh Occasionally, I feel the urge to defend myself from his nonsense.   
 In reply to Patrick Moore  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 17h @EcoSenseNow @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @Cartoonsbyjosh But he keeps insisting on inserting himself into my conversations behind my back.   
 In reply to Patrick Moore  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 17h @EcoSenseNow @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @Cartoonsbyjosh I've had him blocked for a very long time.   
 In reply to  Robert Ellis  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 17h @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal Many times.   
 In reply to  Robert Ellis  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 17h @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal I just lose patience with his attempts to deflect and distort, after I'd already explained.   
 In reply to  Robert Ellis  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 17h @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal Apologies for my abruptness. But I had stipulated steady-state.   
 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Blocked again. I've wasted far too much time on you.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

... refusing to back up your words. Deflecting, hiding, all kinds of gyrations. No action.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I don't think anybody else here misunderstands. You've just spent about 1/2 hour here...



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I asked you to back up your claim that I was wrong. I clarified what my own claim was.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Blocked again. No more wasting my time. I got what I needed from you.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

The joke is on you.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I have recordings of most of our exchanges. You can think I'm funny all you like.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

... straw-man, you out-of-context.

It's what you do. You're very good at it.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123



@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

You don't argue honestly. You distort. You won't answer direct questions. You deflect, you...



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I think by now everyone can see that what I told them about you is true.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I think by now everyone can see by now that what I told them about you is true.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

No, no. More deflecting. It won't wash, man. You're hiding behind your older bullshit.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Why won't you answer me directly? Why do you continue to do this?



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

No. You're trying to change the subject to a different conversation again.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

This is an EXAMPLE of your dishonesty. It does NOT support your position.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

You just did it again.

Stop deflecting. Answer me directly.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

After I've EXPLAINED to you MANY times, what I meant by my

AGAIN I'VE EXPLAINED TO YOU, MANY TIMES, what I meant by my statements.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

You “show” everybody how you “refuted” something other than what I meant.




In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

It's the same thing I've meant all along. But rather than answer direct questions, ...



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Because you're doing it right now. The context was given right here, a few tweets ago.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I clarified it AGAIN just a few moments ago. Why are you continuing with your BS?



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

MANY, MANY TIMES OVER. STOP WITH THE EXCUSES.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I'VE EXPLAINED TO YOU IN PLAIN ENGLISH WHAT I MEANT.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I'M NOT INTERESTED IN YOUR DISTORTED INTERPRETATION OF MY COMMENTS.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

You've wasted my time, and the time of my friends, with your BS.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

You're still being dishonest NOW with your deflecting. Stop deflecting.

In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I'm not falling for your BS.
Support your claim, or shut the fuck up. I'm tired of your crap.

In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

My claim was that it DOESN'T.
So show I was wrong.

In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

... power out at steady-state.

In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

You made the claim I was wrong. So show us how a normal blanket alters the radiative...

In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Which you have refused to do for many minutes now. Wasting everyone's time.

In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

No attempt at "telepathy" here. Simply an attempt to get you to answer direct questions.

In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @SterlingMOliver @tan123 @kdghantous


Why won't you discuss the actual topic of discussion? What's wrong?

In reply to Dumb Scientist




Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

 **@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123**
You're being dishonest NOW by pretending this conversation is about something it isn't.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h**
@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123
Typical SJW tactic. Don't respond to questions, try to take a parting shot, and run away.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h**
@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123
You're deflecting again. So you refuse to answer my direct questions?

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h**
@DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal @PSI_Intl
... of my blanket comment was WRONG.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h**
@DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal @PSI_Intl
I've already explained to you multiple times that your interpretation...

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h**
@DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal @PSI_Intl
I asked you direct questions. HERE. You're oblivious, or deflecting.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h**
@DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal @PSI_Intl
Nor am I interested in your distorted interpretation of what I said.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h**
@DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal @PSI_Intl
That's not the subject under discussion here.

↩ ↺ ⋮

↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist

 **Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h**
@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

Posted where? I have no idea what you're even talking about.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal
You haven't been responding to MY comments at all.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal
@PSI_Intl

I'm not even discussing that stuff with you. What's wrong with you?



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal
@PSI_Intl

You aren't discussing with ME. You're rehashing your own old stuff.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

You're off in your own little discussion, totally irrelevant to what I've been saying.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

How can you pretend to be refuting? You aren't even discussing the same things I am.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

You're deflecting again. That isn't the subject under discussion here.



In reply to 🌱Robert Ellis



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@rellisCCC @DumbSci @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal
"AT STEADY STATE". How many times must I repeat this?



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

You seem to be WAY behind. I'll let you catch up. We've been getting out of sync.



1



 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh NO. Why would I mean that? I wasn't discussing that at all.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh To show that my own claim, that blankets don't "block" radiative power, is wrong.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 18h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh What I meant to ask you was to show that blankets "block" radiation.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh So I will clarify this once, and won't repeat it: that was an error.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh Ohoh. Perhaps you aren't. Looks like I might have replied to the wrong tweet.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh No, no. You're getting the tweet stream out of order.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh That wasn't what I asked. Stop deflecting.	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh At steady-state?	  
 In reply to Dumb Scientist  Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh WTF? Are you attempting to claim that a black-body blanket changes	

total outward flux?



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
And you're deflecting from the central issue here.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
I'm not going to play even more of your out-of-contexting game, Bryan.
It's BS.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

Yes, that's dishonest.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
... that wasn't what my comments meant. I have recordings of those
exchanges, Bryan.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
In a way that clearly distorts their meaning, when I explained to you AT
THE TIME...



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
You've out-of-contexted unrelated comments to support your
hypothesis.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
If you want to play semantic games, what I meant was their thermal
conduction was low.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
Do you deny that thermal conduction is different in different materials?

WTF?



In reply to Dumb Scientist

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

No it doesn't mean blankets can't block conduction. Holy crap! Where did you get that?

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

You're shifting context again. I wasn't referring to that at all. Why should I bother?

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

Over, and over, and over again.

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

I am serious. You leave me no choice. You've ignored everything I've told you.

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

... but to conclude that you are deliberately distorting in attempt to smear me.

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

And considering that I **HAVE** explained this to you many times, I have no choice...**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

... so they seem to support your "slayer" nonsense. It's blatantly dishonest.

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

... emission doesn't change, as I've explained to you MANY times now), and twisted them...

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

You've taken my statements that blankets don't "block" radiation (BECAUSE, total ...

	  
 In reply to Karma Ferret	 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @KarmaFerret Don't worry about it. But it is puzzling.   
 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh I just said that. Reading comprehension issues again?   	
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh You twisted my comments out of context again. I have them all recorded, Bryan.   
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal Input must still equal output. GHE does not reduce radiative output of Earth.   
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal TOTAL emission, that is. Net flux.   
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal No "blocking". At steady state, the outward emission remains the same.   
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal No, once again you're distorting what I've clearly told you multiple times.   
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 You're just demonstrating malicious intent again.   
 In reply to Dumb Scientist	 Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 Repeat: that conversation has zero to do with me. Why drag my name

into it?



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @curryja

You have “shown” no such thing. Assertions are not demonstrations.



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @curryja

I keep saying what?



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

REGARDLESS of whether it's a space blanket or a thick comforter.



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

Because: at steady-state, radiation isn't getting “blocked” at all. Input = output.



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh

But the “space blanket” point, understood in casual conversation, is not critical.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

... they seem to mean something they don't, is why we have libel laws.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 19h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Taking comments separated in time out of context, and pasting them together, so that...



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

As I have explained to you repeatedly.

Yet that is nowhere to be found in your little smear.



↩ In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

When someone says “blanket”, they don't refer to specially-made

“space blankets”.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

If your intent were NOT malicious, why did you omit those contexts?

Please explain.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

It is apparently that your interest is not “clarification” at all, but smear.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

NOT the low-emissivity, high-reflectivity “space” blankets.

Why omit that context?



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

You also omitted my reply to Prof. Brown that I was referring to everyday blankets.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Maybe you should think twice about letting your obsessions get the better of you.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

Very poor move on your part, Bryan. I’m going to make sure it’s preserved in entirety.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

... chance to rebut? Because as I have also recorded, David is also not honest about censoring.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123



And post it in a place everyone can see, but (being Appell's blog), I don't have a fighting...



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

... insert it into the context of "slayer" nonsense?

Shame.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

And further, if I understood that — which I clearly stated that I did — why do you ...



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I have a record of that exchange. So why do you present it dishonestly out of context?



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

I explained to you AT THE TIME that it doesn't: it prevents cooling. Not the same things.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

"... warmer surface. Not by any physics I ever learned."



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 ... take THIS statement out of context: "A cooler gas does not radiatively warm an already ...



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123

And as I've repeatedly told YOU, I am well aware of that. That is a deliberate attempt to...




In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 "... greenhouse

	effect disappears if the upper troposphere isn't colder than the surface."	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 Two more things taken out of context: "Nonsense, Lonny. I've repeatedly told you that the..."	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 You have shown once again that your motives are malicious. Thanks for that I guess.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 His comment had nothing to do with me. What was your motivation for dragging me in?	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h @DumbSci @kdghantous @SterlingMOliver @tan123 I am asking you once again to stop your attempts at character assassination.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h @DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal Repeat: I am not a "slayer". And you haven't shown me to be wrong about blankets.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h @DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal Repeat: I am not a "slayer". And you haven't shown be to be wrong about blankets.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh It's so typical of you to post that where there is no reasonable opportunity to reply.	  
	In reply to Dumb Scientist	
	Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h @DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh	



As I mentioned myself in that conversation you copied, without that context.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
An everyday blanket, not a high-reflectivity, low-emissivity space blanket.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
If a blanket “blocks” emissions, where is the “blocked” energy going at steady-state?



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
As you have stated yourself many times, at steady state input must equal output.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
I await your mathematical proof of this assertion.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
Please show us all how a blanket that isn't low-emissivity “blocks” emission.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
As I have berated you for doing before. Sometimes, they have been years apart.



In reply to Dumb Scientist



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
As usual, you have conflated conversations that took place months apart.







In reply to Dumb Scientist




Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h

@DumbSci @ASKirkpatrick @EcoSenseNow @Cartoonsbyjosh
Now you're perpetuating your out-of-contexts in a “quest post”?









In reply to Dumb Scientist




Lonny Eachus @eachus · 20h
@DumbSci @rellisCCC @mrmattsimmons @dgrwhippet @tveitdal
You know I am not a “slayer”. Will you stop dishonestly associating me with them?








Lonny Eachus Retweeted




Ann Coulter @AnnCoulter · 22h
NYT: "First came the shooting of a teenager in Columbus..who had been brandishing a BB gun." Kid was robbing people & this was his "BB gun"







1.2K

2K








Lonny Eachus Retweeted




EFF @EFF · 22h
The Consumer Review Fairness Act is a noble bill, but there's a dangerous loophole.


	<p>Copyright Loophole Could Undermine Important ...</p> <p>The Consumer Review Fairness Act Is a Noble Bill but Could Leave the Door Open for Copyright Abuse</p> <p>eff.org</p>
---	---




26

16






Lonny Eachus Retweeted



Tom Nelson @tan123 · 22h
"43 percent of Americans wouldn't spend a buck a month to fight" AGW


--

White House hopes to fight climate change with data sharing

Despite some scary evidence that climate change is affecting weather patterns and even shifting how the Earth moves, 43 percent of American...

engadget.com

← ↻ 14 6 ...

← In reply to Teller



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 21h

@MrTeller

I wish this attitude had been more prevalent when Ron Paul was running.

← ↻ ...

← In reply to Stephen Newton



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 21h

@stephennewton @randywedo57 @SteveSGoddard

@SConwaySmith

No matter how stridently you defend the “consensus”, consensus is not science.

← ↻ 1 ...

← In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

I am well aware.

I have to go now.

← ↻ ...

← In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

Lots of similar cases.

Look up “excited delirium”. A fictitious “condition” promoted by Taser.

← ↻ ...

← In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

I'm working so I have to bow out for a while.

← ↻ ...

← In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

Yes.

Taser is supposed to be “an alternative to deadly force”. Not an excuse to avoid confrontation.

← ↻ ...

← In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

Ironically, they also had a policy of hiring for advanced positions from within the ranks.

:O/



In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

I was told they wanted people who followed orders, not who thought for themselves.



In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

Yep. I was told that by the head of the PD years ago. They won't accept someone with "too high" of an IQ.



In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

They expected me to promise them better pay, etc. But I didn't lie. Even though I knew they wouldn't endorse me.



In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

Of course I knew they'd hate the idea. The last thing they want people to know is how much they cost.



In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

... burglarized last week. And Joe's house burned down last fall. Maybe we should pay more to for these services."



In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

"... they spend on things like police and fire protection. That way, they can say, 'Hmm... Sally down the street got...



In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

I told them: "I think households should get a statement every month, like a utility bill, telling them how much...



In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues

**Lonny Eachus** @eachus · 22h

@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

That set the tone of the whole interview. They assumed I was an idiot, I



In reply to Marty Gwynne Argues



Lonny Eachus @eachus · 22h
@Logic_Argue @JunkScience

The leader of the group then leaned over to me and said, “You know we get paid out of tax money, right?”

Duh.



New to Twitter?

Sign up now to get your own personalized timeline!

Sign up

You may also like ·

Refresh



Daferts!
@cherylpoestone



Dark Eyed Wh...
@dark_eyed_whit



Furquin el Fer...
@FurquinFerret



Niels Jansen
@nielsjansendk



Alfie the Ferret
@ferrettyferret

Worldwide Trends

#الاتحاد_الاهلي
205K Tweets

Ted Cruz
52.6K Tweets

#KohLanta
11.9K Tweets

#ikincişans
120K Tweets

#TFCPSG
12.2K Tweets

#BakeOffItalia
5,877 Tweets

TürkOlmak Şereftir
13.4K Tweets

Mike Ditka
12.8K Tweets

Aurier
5,049 Tweets

John Green
15.2K Tweets