

Stories Firehose > All Popular Polls Deals [Submit](#)

Search 

[khayman80](#)

Topics: [Devices](#) [Build](#) [Entertainment](#) [Technology](#) [Open Source](#) [Science](#) [YRO](#)

Follow us:     

“ You are invited to take a drink from the [Firehose](#)



This discussion has been archived. No new comments can be posted.

[IT Departments Try To Avoid Getting "Ubered" 225 More Prefs](#)

[IT Departments Try To Avoid Getting "Ubered"](#)

[Archived Discussion](#) [Load All Comments](#)

8 Full 0 Abbreviated 0 Hidden

Comments Filter:

Score:

[Insightful](#)

[Informative](#)

[Interesting](#)

[Funny](#)

**The Fine Print:** The following comments are owned by whoever posted them. We are not responsible for them in any way.

[O'm going to try to avoid getting nauseous \(Score:5, Funny\)](#)

by [drinkypoo \( 153816 \)](#) [Foe of a Friend](#)

Please, "Ubered", no. Not only no, and also no, but it sounds like a noise I once made in between too many bratwursts with too much mustard and too much sauerkraut, and way way way too much beer. I think the beer was lagered, which would make a sort of onomatopoetic sense, if it led to uberling.

--

"You're right," Fisheye says. "I should have set it on 'whip' or 'chop.'"

[Re: \(Score:1\)](#)

by Anonymous Coward

Samzenpus,

Have you ever had a job in a real IT department? The worker is typically mandated by draconian management: they don't have a choice in what to use, and are typically chained to a desk for the duration.

Not to mention that Uber is a piece of crap that would have died out on launch if it didn't have millions in capital to pay off/fend off municipalities that don't want them.

You've been around here a while so I would hope you aren't still living in mommy's basement. If you could get me a job in one

[Re: \(Score:3\)](#)

by [tlambert \( 566799 \)](#) [Alter Relationship](#)

Not to mention that Uber is a piece of crap that would have died out on launch if it didn't have millions in capital to pay off/fend off municipalities that don't want them.

They have those millions because people hate cab companies, and Uber offers a better alternative. So it must not be a piece of crap, if people are voting that heavily for it with their \$\$\$.

Hint: It's not the \*people\* of the municipalities that don't want Uber, it's the \*cab companies\* and the \*politicians owned by the cab companies\* who don't want them.

[Re: \(Score:5, Insightful\)](#)

by [Jane Q. Public \( 1010737 \)](#) [Friend of a Friend](#)[Foe of a Friend](#)

They have those millions because people hate cab companies, and Uber offers a better alternative. So it must not be a piece of crap, if people are voting that heavily for it with their \$\$\$.

Hint: It's not the \*people\* of the municipalities that don't want Uber, it's the \*cab companies\* and the \*politicians owned by the cab companies\* who don't want them.

While this is true to a large degree, there are other factors involved.

I agree that taxi companies and municipalities have long been in each others' drawers, to the detriment of the general populace. But there is also **some** justification for **some** of the laws.

For example: making sure a driver had commercial-grade liability insurance. (I don't want to go into the general concept of insurance here; I'm not a big

fan. But that is the current system, no matter how much it needs to be changed.)

One of the

>

[Re:I'm going to try to avoid getting nauseous \(Score:0\)](#)

by Anonymous Coward on 2015-09-26 18:29 (#50605215)

By the way... I don't care if the public knows what I think about you. But you removed the contexts. Yet again. [It's very telling](#) that you didn't include any of the things that prompted those opinions from me. No context. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-25\]](#)

The context is that you were [cussing at me](#) because I refuted Latour's Sky Dragon Slayer nonsense. From the same conversation:

... if you can actually, successfully complete a refutation of Latour, and show us, and it checks out, I will be **happy** to declare to everyone that I was wrong and you were right about that issue. You have my word. I will shout it out loud. I'll admit it here on Slashdot and even open a Twitter account and post it there. ... [\[Jane O. Public, 2014-09-09\]](#)

Jane/Lonny, you **gave your word** that you would declare to everyone that you were wrong about Latour's Sky Dragon Slayer claims. But over a year later you **still** haven't, even after [seeming to realize](#) your Slayer claims violate "kindergarten-level physics". That's how much Lonny's "word" is worth. @DanaRohrabacher He has expressed the view that a scientist is only a worthy human being if he/she agrees with DumbSci. Else a criminal. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-25\]](#)

Unbelievable! Lonny [baselessly whines](#) about being "libeled" **and** maliciously lies about me to a member of Congress. Lonny can't link to me expressing that disgusting view, because **I never did**. Lonny Eachus is lying **again**. As usual, he's projecting his own hysterical claims:

YOU should be put in jail for the ethical equivalent of shouting "fire" in a crowded theater... when there wasn't a fire. THAT DOES HARM. Real cost, real damage. You're a criminal. And not in some hypothetical future, but right now. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-07-10\]](#)

In contrast, I've [politely disagreed with scientists without](#) once [calling](#) anyone a criminal or a "despicable human being" (presumably meaning "not worthy") like [Jane/Lonny Eachus does](#).

@DanaRohrabacher You might ask him about his own comments re: scientists like Roy Spencer, Judith Curry, John Christy, Richard Lindzen, etc. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-25\]](#)

Why? I've said [Dr. Spencer](#) is wrong. Lonny also [claimed Spencer](#) is [wrong](#) ([albeit](#) because Spencer [isn't a Slayer](#)). Is Lonny Eachus insinuating that only Lonny is allowed to say Spencer's wrong?

As for [Prof. Curry](#), I've also said she's wrong. Is Lonny Eachus insinuating that only Lonny is allowed to say someone's wrong? I've [only mentioned one of Dr. Christy's papers](#). Is Lonny Eachus insinuating that only Lonny is allowed to mention Dr. Christy's papers?

I don't remember mentioning Dr. Lindzen except to [note that](#) "Slayers are [too nutty for Lindzen](#)". That's a compliment, because the Slayer CEO is a psychopathic pedophile who [brainwashed Jane/Lonny Eachus](#) into blaming his teenage victim.

While you may object to the label [fanatic], I am confident that your documented actions would prove it to a jury. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-25\]](#)

More confident than you were about Latour's fractally wrong Slayer nonsense? You were so confident that you [cussed up a storm](#) when I said you and the Slayers were wrong. How did that work out?

... [plain fucking creepy](#) ... [consummate and incurable asshole](#) ... The guy is a creep, and he's less interested in science than he is in stifling dissenting views. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-25\]](#)

Charming. Again, Lonny doesn't get the irony of [desperately trying](#) to stifle dissenting views while baselessly hurling that accusation at others. Maybe Lonny expects us to pretend his [charming comments](#) are evidence that he's interested in science. But Lonny might have more success convincing people he's interested in science if he backed up his neverending accusations with **even a single, solitary calculation**:

There has been no worldwide change in sea level rise. The claims of "acceleration" were based on measurement on ONE beach. [In the entire world](#). If that isn't cherry-picking I don't know what is. ... [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-25\]](#)

Good grief. Will Lonny ever tire of psychological projection? Lonny, [you linked to a creationist's article based on that dowsing guy Mörner](#) who cherry-picked ONE beach in the entire world, then doctored photographic 'evidence' to claim that "Rise of sea levels is 'the greatest lie ever told'".

... AVG (because it changes from area to area) SLR has remained approximately the same, at slightly [less than 1mm/year](#), for about 300 years. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-25\]](#)

[Once again](#), how did Lonny read the first sentence in [Houston and Dean 2011](#) stating that sea level rose by 1.7mm/y over the 20th century, but not admit that it contradicts his [mistaken claim](#) about "< 1mm per year rate for hundreds of years"?

[Once again](#), how could an honest man/"gal" keep spreading lies even after it is demonstrated that he/"she" is wrong?

*"If an honest man is wrong, after it is demonstrated that he is wrong, he either stops being wrong or he stops being honest."* -- Anonymous [\[Lonny Eachus, 2013-09-27\]](#)

Thanks. What he "gave" me was a study I already mentioned using a suspect set of data. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-25\]](#)

Good grief. First, I didn't just "give" Lonny a study, let alone a "suspect" one. I wrote an R program which calculates trends and accelerations (and correlated uncertainties) at different starting points in **any** dataset, then zipped up that code along with many datasets and [handed it to Jane/Lonny](#) on a silver platter.

Second, behold Jane/Lonny "psychological projection" Eachus. The code I wrote and gave to Lonny explicitly debunked "Houston and Dean 2011."

Lonny actually "responded" by citing the **exact same study** I'd already mentioned, the one using a suspect set of data! Jane/Lonny [still hasn't admitted](#) that Houston and Dean used a suspect set of data by cherry-picking a starting date and forgetting that the southern hemisphere has more ocean than the north.

Third, did Jane/Lonny ever notice his [ironic units mistake](#)?

If you're following @DumbSci's links, don't expect them to show you quite what he says they will. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-25\]](#)

Again, behold Jane/Lonny "psychological projection" Eachus. Remember the link you [kept "forgetting" to give](#) to our conversation about the NAS, which **certainly** didn't show quite what you said it would?

Parent

Share

[twitter](#) [facebook](#) [linkedin](#) 

**Re:I'm going to try to avoid getting nauseous (Score:0)**

by Anonymous Coward on 2015-09-26 19:05 (#50605331)

First, no, if you want to respond to tweets in the future, you will do it on Twitter. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-26\]](#)

Cupcake is **adorable** when cupcake gives orders.

Second, no, that was NOT "the context". I had very good reasons for cussing at you, and that wasn't it. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-26\]](#)

Yeah, it was a **complete coincidence** that cupcake was cussing at me while doubling-down on cupcake's absurd Slayer claim. Hey, cupcake might be right. Cupcake's "very good reasons for cussing" at me might be his crippling anger management problem and insecurity over his lack of any scientific experience.

I told you years ago that your out-of-context bullshit was going to get you in trouble. You should have listened. Your [utter inability](#) to see any wrongdoing in your own actions is going to be your downfall. [At the time](#), I didn't expect that trouble to involve ME, and said so. But so be it. You made it so. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-26\]](#)

Cupcake is **even more adorable** when cupcake makes statements which **certainly** aren't threats. Not when cupcake makes them. Cupcake is **special**.

[Parent](#)[Share](#)[twitter](#) [facebook](#) [linkedin](#) **Re:I'm going to try to avoid getting nauseous (Score:0)**

by Anonymous Coward on 2015-09-26 19:34 (#50605409)

So I'm copying this "response" of yours, as yet another example of your out-of-context MISREPRESENTATION. Also, I am not obligated to do things on your timeline or at your insistence. Your notion that I am is [ludicrous](#). In response, I can say that FIVE YEARS later, you still haven't learned what [out-of-context](#) misrepresentation is. Despite the fact that I have specifically warned you about it on [innumerable occasions](#). [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-26\]](#)  
Deep breaths, cupcake. Deep... breaths...

Out of generosity, I will give you this hint: some things I did NOT do, because you tried to verbally coerce me. [And I will not](#) be coerced by the likes of you, come Hell or high water, as the saying goes. [So again](#) it comes back to your not being able to see the ramifications of your own actions. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-26\]](#)

As another scientist [noted](#), it's interesting other people are responsible for cupcake's behaviour.

[Parent](#)[Share](#)[twitter](#) [facebook](#) [linkedin](#) **Re:I'm going to try to avoid getting nauseous (Score:0)**

by Anonymous Coward on 2015-09-26 20:17 (#50605511)

And I will suggest another motivation for your "forum swapping" when you say you are "responding". I suspect you do that because it helps you misrepresent statements out-of-context. It's a [reasonable deduction](#). It might not be, if you hadn't established a [strong pattern](#) of doing exactly that. Much of it recorded for [posterity](#), of course. [\[Lonny Eachus, 2015-09-26\]](#)

I will suggest another motivation for Lonny's endless whining. [Best case scenario](#): [Lonny Eachus](#) is a [paranoid crackpot](#) who [suffers from early-onset dementia](#).

[Parent](#)[Share](#)[twitter](#) [facebook](#) [linkedin](#) [Slashdot](#)[Archived Discussion](#)[Get 225 More Comments](#)[Submit Story](#)

Man is an animal that makes bargains: no other animal does this-- no dog exchanges bones with another. -- Adam Smith

[FAQ](#)[Story Archive](#)[Hall of Fame](#)[Advertising](#)[Terms](#)[Privacy](#)[Opt Out Choices](#)[About](#)[Feedback](#)[Mobile View](#)[Blog](#)

Trademarks property of their respective owners. Comments owned by the poster. Copyright © 2016 SlashdotMedia. All Rights Reserved.

[Close](#)

[Slashdot](#)

Working...